Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

* CECRET

U.S. ARMY CENTER OF MILITARY HISTORY

- + + ++

INTERVIEW

OF

(b)(3), (b)(6)

CHIEF, COIG

MULTI-NATIONAL CORPS-IRAQ

JANUARY 28, 2008

BAGHDAD, IRAQ

This transcript was produced from tapes provided by the U.S. Army Center of Military History.

* SECRET*

* S E C R E T *

2.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 This is (b)(3), (b)(6)(b)(3), (b)(6) 3 the Multinational Corps-Iraq Historian. (b)(3), (b)(6)4 Today Monday, the 28th of January 2008 5 approximately 1930, and I am here at the al Far Palace 6 outside of Baghdad, Iraq, interviewing (b)(3), (b)(6) 7 This is the second interview with (b)(3), (b)(6) (b)(3), (b)(6) the Counter-IED Operations Integration Center 8 9 Chief, or COIC. The first interview was on the 20th 10 of August 2007. if it is acceptable to 11 (b)(3), (b)(6)12 we did a release form then. We will just consider it to still be in effect. 13 (b)(3), (b)(6) 14 That's fine. 15 (b)(3), (b)(6) Just to validate the could you go ahead and introduce yourself with 16 tape, full name, if you would. 17 vour 18 (b)(3), (b)(6)Director of the MNCI COIC. 19 (b)(3), (b)(6) 20 Great. I just wanted to (b)(3), (b)(6) ask first off, looking back at that first interview, 21

since that time have there been any major changes that

* S E C R E T †

we should make mention of in terms of the way the COIC is organized, its responsibilities or anything that has been changed of a noteworthy fashion in terms of your staffing?

(b)(3),(b)(6) Again, we had no change in mission or in organization. We did have some people depart and some people to join us. Currently, we are preparing to be relieved by the (Inaudible) the 18th Airborne Corps during that notable change.

(b)(3), (b)(6) : When will you redeploy?

(b)(3), (b)(6) : We've already begun

sending some persons back. We will continue that this week, and then (Several words inaudible) on the 10th of January and then again on the 12th -- or February, and again on the 12th of February, and then I will depart on the 14th of February.

(b)(3),(b)(6): One of the things when we spoke last, we were looking at what at that time were the downward trends, and you had expressed some thoughts then about where those trends were going.

I know since then some of your team briefed some of their usual Sunday briefs Monday. One

* SECRET *

of the things that came up for discussion is have you reached that leveling out point, for instance?

(b)(3),(b)(6) Yes. We have. As much as we predicted, we have seen a leveling out, and that is clearly reflected in our products, whether it is the Effects Assessment Board or in our weekly BCTs.

We assessed back in September that the enemy's main effort was going to be Mosul, and that was not universally accepted at the time, but our studies pointed in that direction, and since then it has become quite clear that AQI has repositioned many of their leadership and resources into the Mosul area, either out of Diyala or Salahuddin, with many reports suggesting they are getting aid out of Syria from (Inaudible), to include the new Baath party.

We watched that very, very closely throughout the autumn months, but it became particularly clear to me in mid-November that the enemy had a significant capacity for attacks where we saw a dramatic rise in RPG attacks during the battle handover between 4th Brigade of 1st Cav and 3d Army Cavalry Regiment, specifically 3d Squadron, 3d ACR.

* SECRETY

We did a quick study of the area toward the tail end of November of '07. By the 5th of December after a pretty detailed analysis and illustration (Inaudible), I came to the conclusion that AQI's principal focus at the time was survival. It suffered so much to our offensive operations in and around Baghdad, especially in (Inaudible) Iraq that I mentioned in the past, that he had retired into areas in the northern half of the Diyala River Valley and up into Mosul and to the areas west of Beji.

So I outlined to the Commanding General on 5 December that AQI was principally focused on survival, with the main effort in Mosul, a supporting effort between Beji and Kirkuk and another supporting effort in the Samarra/Diyala River Valley area.

We gave it to them in detail in a sketch map with a detailed breakout of the components for the main effort in Mosul, the supporting effort, one in the Beji-Kirkuk area, and the supporting effort number two in the Samarra, the Diyala River Valley area.

Within the week, the C2 shop had our map and began to digitize it, and on Friday afternoon of

* SECRET*

that same week we presented that assessment to the Commanding Generals at the MNCI Commander's Conference, and in effect that became Paragraph 1 for the Operation Phantom Phoenix, the final offensive operation of the Corps.

Since that time period, our assessment has held quite true. What we assessed in the upper Diyala River Valley and in areas south of Baqubah were validated by operations conducted by Task Force 1.4a and the Corps Reserve, 3d Squadron, 2nd Stryker Cavalry Regiment operating outside of Moqtadiyah, and again by the 5th Iraqi Army south of Baqubah down on the continental side.

Massive support areas were found in both those locations, and the enemy did not put up much of a fight. But it showed its usual delaying tactics and house borne IEDs or booby traps, (Inaudible) buried IEDs, caches, etcetera.

In the meantime, the direct fire combat in Mosul only increased, and of late I just showed the CG one of our short analyses showing that the only place in Iraq that we are seeing sustained RPG fire,

* SECRET

small arms fire with IEDs are about three distinct areas in Mosul, and all subsequent intelligence reporting since early December confirms what we had assessed back on the 5th of December.

So now Phantom Phoenix is well on its way.

The Corps is going to complete its duties here on an offensive footing, much like we began, and we have a good concept of operation for 18th Airborne Corps to inherit.

enemy use of the IED has allowed us to define the enemy in terms of location, capacity, will, perhaps even intent, and that methodology allows us to see enemy dispositions and correlate those assessments with other reporting pretty rapidly, and it has allowed me to give the Commander my appreciation of the enemy on at least five distinct occasions that have driven large Corps operations.

So there is a benefit to the COIC, I think. It has allowed our team to focus on the problem and view the enemy through the portal of the IED, and to do so with economy and with speed, and to

* SECRET

corroborate reporting accordingly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think it has allowed the Commander to have situational awareness and to make rapid assessments and to take decisions a little bit sooner than he otherwise might be able to.

think that is probably the most significant set of trends since September.

I know you got a lot of products. worked hard to give you everything that we have digitally. So if you pay attention to our Effects Assessment Board predictions, both our assessments and predictions, you will see -- We have been pretty consistent in our reporting and in our assessments, and you will see we have been a couple of months ahead of the conventional wisdom on things.

Further, you will note back in November and December of '07, I rated the counter-IED fights as green. I think, based on the objectives we set for ourselves back in January of '07, we met those criteria in November and again in December where we saw 70 percent reduction in IED activity in all of the key IED hot spots that we saw back in December of '06,

Taji, Tarmiyah (Phonetic), Ramadi, Yusufiyah. All those were reduced well below 70 percent of January levels -- or December '06 levels by November of '07.

The VBIED cells in Baghdad suffered so much attrition that they were effectively ineffective by November. That was another criteria we set for ourselves, the defeat of the Baghdad VBIED cells.

I think what we outlined for AO bedrock (Phonetic) we talked about before in April and May came to pass. The multiple operations that were conducted in AO-Baghdad starting with the 13th MEU, going out with the 6th Marine Regiment --

(b)(3),(b)(6) : You said AO Baghdad. I assume you meant --

(b)(3),(b)(6) AO Bedrock, excuse me and with 11 Cav and with 42 Stryker, multiple operations in and out of Baghdad, and most recent 1st of the 101st out of Samarra confirmed our template and, interestingly enough, after 1st Brigade, 3d ID finished our last offensive operation in there, it coincided with the dramatic downturn in activity in Baghdad, probably about two months ago.

* SECRET *

So we think that the assessment we gave for AO-Bedrock proved accurate based upon all the reporting from all the units that operate in there, most currently 1st Brigade, 101st. In fact, the big cache finds found in bunker complexes found by 1st Brigade, 101st, a couple of weeks ago were a couple of kilometers away from our named area of interest 004 along the SR Golden.

Since that cache was found, there has been a precipitous dropoff in TED activity along (Inaudible) south of Samarra, which confirmed again our estimate.

(b)(3) (b)(6) Where do you see us at the end of Operation Phantom Phoenix?

(b)(3),(b)(6) I think we are observing the rempants of AQI consolidating all of their capability in and around Mosul, principally in Ninevah Province to the west. There are probably pockets in Samarra and Samarra East and in the areas north of Jabour Peninsula on the north side of the Tigris River. But, clearly, the enemy's main effort right now is in Mosul.

He is establishing support zones out in the desert west of Beji, just like we had templated. In fact, shortly after we templated support effort number two outside of Beji, no sooner did an 18 from the 5th Special Forces Group get into a 90 minute firefight out there, and again 1st Brigade, 101st, has been conducting patrols north and west of Beji confirming support that we (Inaudible), which you had on the map there.

So -- and since they have been out there patrolling, attacks in Beji have gone down dramatically. In fact, in addition to that the brigade operating out of Camp Speicher has reported on at least five separate occasions they think the enemy is running out of ammunition.

So if we conduct an aggressive offensive operation in Mosul, and I think we will, probably in 90 days time Mosul will be quiet and AQI will be further disrupted, if not defeated. But I would imagine there will be pockets in Samarra we will have to deal with, out into Samarra East in the areas north of the Diyala River Valley and the Tigris River line,

* SECRET*

probably well into the summer of next year. But as an offensive striking capability, I think they will be severely diminished. But they still have the capacity for atrocity using suicide vests or vehicle IEDs, and they will continue to do that in places like Baghdad and Samarra, but of late their suicide borne vehicle IEDs has gone down dramatically. I think that has a lot to do with this precipitous dropoff of foreign fighters in the country, suicide foreign fighters.

(b)(3),(b)(6) We have seen -- At least to the casual observer, seems like we have seen an increase in the house borne IED. In fact, this is a relatively new term.

(b)(3),(b)(6) The house borne IED has been used throughout the year, actually, if you look back at the records. The house borne IED with a booby trapped house indicates an AQI support zone. It means we are in the support zone. We are clearing out those areas that they have secured over years and months of war.

It is where we find caches, large caches.

It is where we find their torture houses, their jails,

* SECRET *

their courtrooms, their dispensaries. When we are in the right areas clearing out the AQI support zones, we will find large buried IEDs used as parts of obstacle networks to reinforce the terrain, and we will find booby trap houses.

In fact, we did a special study on that a couple of weeks ago that you should have in your files. We have seen them south of Baghdad, within Baghdad, especially in East Rasheed, and we saw scores of them in Bagubah in the summer of '07.

Again, we have seen them in Diyala River Valley areas up around Moqtadiyah, again in AQI support zones. So as dangerous as they are, our troops have been very, very good at identifying them and clearing them before any injury has been done to our soldiers, at probably at a rate of about 65-plus percent found and clear rates for house borne IEDs.

We tend to find them after AQI has withdrawn from an area. They are dangerous, but they tend to be a positive sign that we are in the right place, and we have forced the enemy to withdraw, and he has put those out much like a delaying tactic to

* SECRET

reduce our rate of pursuit.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Likewise, we have seen more of what we are now calling person-borne IEDs,

PBIEDs. Is that different than -- Is there anything different by definition of what we used to call s-vests?

(b)(3),(b)(6) It's the same thing.

It's just that they are using them more frequently, because, I believe, a few factors.

Our counter-mobility efforts around high value targeted areas, whether they be markets or governmental buildings, is such that it is hard for them to infiltrate a car full of explosives into the area, and the protection and fortification we have put in place around high value targets precludes them from bringing in large vehicle-borne IEDs.

In addition to that, I believe that what the Coalition has done and what friends in the region have done has dried up the ability of AQI to bring in suicide foreign fighters.

We have seen a precipitous dropoff in the number of foreign fighters coming into the country

* C E C R E T *

since September of '07. A lot of that has to do with what the government of Saudi Arabia and Syria have done. It has also had a lot to do with what Task

Force 1.4a has done in and around the Baghdad area as a part of our effort to secure Baghdad.

Foreign fighter cells have been significantly defeated in and around Baghdad. That, coupled with our counter-mobility and destructive efforts around key target areas has made the vehicle-bornes or the suicide vehicle-borne IED almost obsolete.

What we saw this last autumn into the winter 07'-'08 was a rise in person-borne IEDs or suicide vest IEDs. We believe they do that, because they can have better chances of infiltrating in through the disruptive tactics we have around high value targets, the counter-mobility and the protection, where one person can sneak in with a vest.

We have seen reports of children, teenagers, mentally handicapped persons and women being used to carry these devices, either wittingly or

* SECRET*

unwittingly, into markets or other areas in Baqubah, in Baghdad. I think we have one incident where a; man carrying a suicide vest went into a funeral and murdered a bunch of people in the Qoatah (Phonetic) area of Baghdad.

We have seen the same things happen in Baqubah. We believe these are acts of desperation perpetrated by AQI, finding desperate individuals who are willing to do this, and they have been particularly lethal in some instances. You can get a bunch of innocent civilians in one area and bring in a person with a vest, a lot of military grade high explosives covered with ball bearings that will cause a lot of damage.

We have had American Army patrols hit in Baqubah with these things. They are dangerous, but we think they are less sophisticated weapons than, say, suicide borne -- or vehicle borne suicide attempts. They require less organization. It will require less logistical support, but they can be lethal if the right targets present themselves.

They are also harder to detect. We also

* SECRET *

have seen these vests used as uniform items on the part of members of AQI who will wear these things into battle and preclude capture or perhaps as a last act of desperation. But our troops know how to do that.

In fact, we promulgated a study we

In fact, we promulgated a study we received from Task Force 1.4a on how to deal with that. We have put that out in COIC briefings. In fact, we have covered both of those items in detail and in numerous COIC briefings to educate the chain of command.

I think it is a desperate tactic that they are using with the available people and resources they have in reaction to our superior tactics.

(b)(3),(b)(6) By the way, civilian casualties are a fraction of what they were. From the time period of '06-'07 into this time period they are a fraction, and our data charts can show you the exact numbers.

(b)(3),(b)(6) : Just for the listener's benefit, (b)(3),(b)(6) is referring to the Section Historical Report that was collected for the COIC

SECRET*

along with the other elements of the Multinational Corps-Iraq staff that were recently turned in. So that is the item referred to that will have much of

4 | the data mentioned here.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I wanted to ask about the Shia side of things and where you have seen that since we spoke last, realizing that shortly after we spoke Sadr's cease fire came into effect.

I am just looking for your sort of, as you see the situation now with regard to Sadr, Shia extremists and the 14b,14d with regard to EFPs, explosively formed projectiles.

had a significant effect, especially with regard to small arms attacks, rocket attacks and the number of EFP attacks in and around the Baghdad area. South of Baghdad, within certain quarters in Baghdad, there has been a dramatic downturn in Shia violence against Coalition forces.

Now what has persisted, though, are extremists, JAM special groups that are not under the control of Mogtada al-Sadr, fighting at the behest of

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1.4b, 1.4d

We have seen a decrease in attacks throughout the autumn months, but they have come back

up of late in the month of January of '08, especially

in the first week.

What we haven't seen is an increase in lethality, because we believe the tactics being used are not as good as they might be, or what we have seen in the past.

1.4b, 1.4d

We do believe that our surveillance operations along the border, denial of routes moving through Kut as a result of the Georgian Brigade's six checkpoints are foreign the enemy to redirect his activity either through Diyala or through southern regions out of Basra into Mujaf or Karbala and then up

* SECRET *

to Baghdad. That has been disrupted, but not wholly.

Division are very effectively mitigating the effects of enemy cells using EFPs, but the EFP is still present on the battlefield. We have over 50 so far this month, a dramatic increase over the last couple of months. So that threat exists.

8 9 10 11 12 1.4b, 1.4d

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

17

In fact, you can go back to the records we gave you, and you can see that briefing prepared by

(b)(3), (b)(6)

(b)(3), (b)(6) I just want to make sure

for the listener that I have that full definition. I

* SECRET *

So all the indicators of potential increase in EFP attacks, we are seeing right now. We predicted that over a month ago, that we would see a return -- and truth is we thought we would see it in

* SECRET

22

March, and we are actually seeing it here in January. 1 2 But time will tell. 3 4 1.4b, 1.4d 5 6 (b)(3), (b)(6) For the long run, one of 7 the things we also witnessed since we spoke last was on the 20th of December with the publication of Op Ord 8 08-01, laying out the road ahead for the next six 9 months and beyond, and drawing that down to the pre-10 surge levels of troops, for instance, and then plus 11 they are talking again about the transition to Iraqi 12 13 Security Forces. 14 15 1.4b, 1.4d 16 17 18 The Iraqi Security (b)(3), (b)(6) 19 Forces. 20 (b)(3), (b)(6) I'm sorry. 21 I think the Iraqi (b)(3), (b)(6) 22 Security Forces are already doing that within their

* SECRET *

* SECRET *

own areas of operation. I think the successes we have had this year are due to many factors.

I think the principles of war apply to this type of conflict, mass objective offense. I also believe the principles of counter-insurgency apply, specifically separating the insurgent from his base of support and, in so doing, secure the population.

of particular note, the Corps with 07-01 and again with 08-01 -- we have a Corps operational level plan, and operational plan that is tied to the reality of the tactical situation on the ground, and linking it to our strategic outcomes as laid out by national command authority to the MNFI Commanding General's intent.

I believe that the Corps' concept of operation at an operational level has linked our tactical successes to our strategic outcomes. That campaign plan, offensive campaign plan with an eye toward securing the population has made all the difference.

Now the reinforcements as a result of the surge gave us the capacity, the means to execute our

* SECRET

ways to achieve our ends. We focused on Baghdad as a point of main effort. That was the essence of '07-01. We secured the population of Baghdad with an eye toward creating a time and space for political accommodation in the country.

In so doing, we caused massive damage to AQI. I believe we have also disrupted 145,14d

1.4b,1.4d in the Baghdad area through our very aggressive security operations against that influence, especially against JAM special group cells and, in some cases, 1.4b,1.4d

Without question, the grassroots movements in al Anbar, the awakening that we saw spread through the area south of Baghdad and areas north of Baghdad into Diyala, have had a tremendous impact on Sunni resistance. But I think our efforts have separated AQI from those Sunni nationalist groups.

The same with the freeze order from Moqtada al-Sadr. Moqtada al-Sadr does have some, if not significant, influence over many people in the Shia portion of the Iraqi population.

Those popular grassroots political efforts

* SECRET

have made a huge impact on the level of violence in and around Baghdad, both with Sunni and with Shia. I believe our field commanders in all of the MNDs and in MNCI and MNFI saw these movements for what they were, and we exploited them at a political level.

We exploited it with information operations, public information. These have had a combined effect on our principal enemy groups, AQI and some other extremist Sunni groups and extremist Shia groups.

No one thing, I believe, is decisive, but I think our ability to see these opportunities and exploit them with full spectrum capability has allowed us to achieve great military successes in the realm of security for the population.

We have achieved what we set out to achieve. We have created time and space for political accommodation. In terms of the counter-IED fight, as Director of the COIC my focus was never on the IED.

It was on the enemy: Study the enemy; use the IED as a way to begin to understand the enemy.

We never gave up our focus on finding

* S E C R E T *

material solutions to increase the protection of our troops. As we told you earlier, we are in the middle of the MRAP fielding. The MRAP is entirely successful.

In fact, one thing we need to talk about:
We have had 21 events involving MRAPs, three accidents
and 18 attacks. Only one soldier has been killed. We
had a handful of wounded, but the vast majority of
soldiers have walked away. Many of these attacks, if
perpetrated against soldiers or Marines in Humvees,
would have resulted in multiple deaths in each
incident.

A sidebar: The MRAP has been a great success, and it is only going to continue. The fielding program is working along quite well.

Where will we be in the future? I think, if we focus our combat power and all of our capability up in Mosul and inflict upon AQI another resounding defeat, and then follow through wherever the opportunities may present themselves thereafter, we will drive down lethal IED attacks significantly.

This will allow us to shift emphasis on

* SECRET

the strategic threat coming out of [1.46, 1.4d] Hopefully, political successes in and around Baghdad will help identify some of these extremist Shia groups in the meantime, and we will be able to focus our combat power on those groups and further reduce their attacks.

I really think the key to this fight now is -- after defeat of AQI, is political accommodation. We have seen throughout the AO that, when the people turn against armed insurgent groups, IED attacks drop off precipitously. Cache finds go up significantly.

In fact, this month will be our highest month. I anticipate having 800 caches this month,

January of '08. And that is a result of significant portions of the population being secure. Cache turning go up, find and clear rates.

In fact, our find and clear rate so far this month is over 58 percent. In some MNDs they were almost 75 percent less in West and Center. We had the highest find and clear rate, sustained find and clear rate this month (Inaudible).

So as we continue to have our military

* SECRET *

successes, as we continue to have political successes at a grassroots level, all the key indicators of a successful quote/unquote "counter-IED" campaign will only further manifest themselves.

I think the IA is critical to this, because once we secure an area and they move in for the hold and build phase of the operation after we clear, that's when we begin to see these dramatic rises. So I think that dynamic will be in play in the spring of '08.

We will probably see that play out in Mosul. There will be a lot of hard fighting. The IED attacks will go up. The RPG attacks go up. And as we defeat the enemy, it will go down, as will our casualties. The IA will move in numbers. The population will begin to point out caches. Enemy attacks will go down precipitously. Cache finds will go through the roof. Find and clear rates will go through the roof, and the area will become calm, and the IA will consolidate the objective and hold so that the building process can resume.

I think it is -- I think that will be the

* S E C R E T *

dynamic we will see play out in Mosul and probably in Samarra in the next year.

(b)(3),(b)(6) : I wanted to ask a little bit about any changing relationships in terms of relations with the MNDs, knowing that since we talked last we have had the changeover of Multinational Division-Baghdad, of Multinational Division-North.

Any changes in sort of the dynamics?

(b)(3), (b)(6) NO. I think we did a lot of preparatory work with each MND. We established Division support teams that were manned and paid for by JIEDDO.

In the case of Baghdad, now here in the West and, of course, up in North, it's been a seamless transition. We got a lot of those people in before the change, and we have not seen any drop in efficiency in terms of managing RFIs, implementing counter-IED, equipment fieldings, or exchanging lessons learned to the BCTs or in reporting methodologies. No drop in situational awareness.

So I think -- Of course, with Task Force

1.4a (Phonetic) on board, there's been no dropoff in

their use. I think the change from 25th ID to 1st
Armored Division has not resulted in any dropoff in

3 || efficiency at all.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In fact, we probably have more requests for information than ever. We've just gone over 748 requests for information, 40 of which are being worked right now. These are levels that we haven't had in the past.

So that system of division support teams, MNCI COIC, the JIATF COIC, meeting one of the CG's key intents for the COIC system was the answer. The request for information from battalions or brigades or squadrons and regiments and the divisions has been fully realized.

So I haven't seen any downturn at all with the changes.

(b)(3),(b)(6) What is the (Inaudible) with the 18th Airborne Corps, knowing that this is a unique organization.

nontraditional. They stated they want to maintain the

(b)(3), (b)(6)

capability. They are trying to figure out how they

* SECRET *

This is

Yes.

* SECRET *

want to incorporate it into their future ops planning cell.

I and my time are going to give them everything we have terms of SOP, battle rhythm, key products, reporting methodologies, how we oversee material solutions, how we oversee requests for information.

We are going to give them everything we have learned, and I know full well they will take that and fit it into their way of doing business; and it will probably take them some weeks, if not a couple of months, to figure out exactly how they want to do it. But I'm sure they will benefit by our lessons and adapt it to their way of doing business, given the situation out on the battlefield and their Commander's intent.

They will get everything we have learned and produced, and I'm sure they will adapt around it.

(b)(3), (b)(6) You had mentioned Task Force $\begin{bmatrix} 1.4a \end{bmatrix}$ a few minutes ago. Any change to the way they --

(b)(3),(b)(6) No, I think Task Force

* S E C R E T *

1.4a is -- So they've got a few more air frames in, but I think with the 25th ID developed and standard operating procedures have been carried on by not only 1st Armored Division but by all the MNDs that use the capabilities of 1.4a and it's been entirely successful. We continue to monitor their use on a daily basis.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Is there anything else I haven't asked you about that's happened?

(b)(3),(b)(6) I think we have been j-I look back at the intent the Commanding General gave
me over 16 months ago, and I think we have met that
intent.

We came here with a handful of people, no conception of operation, no facility. In the meantime we've built a facility, brought scores of people on board, trained them, developed our standard operating procedures, our products, and integrated ourselves into the staff and the fight, and I think we have done everything the Commanding General has asked us to do.

If anything else, we have answered over 700 requests for information with high quality

* SECRET *

products, much more than I thought we would ever get done. We helped with the Chief of Staff and Major General Simmons, the DCG of the Corps.

We have put together a series of meetings and a battle rhythm and a set of procedures that allow us to focus material solutions for the counter-IED fight, in accordance with the reality of the battlefield and the Commander's intent.

I think we got a very, very good process. I think our material situation right now in theater has never been better, and I think our ability to give the Commanding General SA, or situational awareness, has been proven over and over again. We take great pride in giving the CG very timely and very accurate reads on the battlefield with unequivocal assessments.

We have also given the CG numerous recommendations for offensive operations, all within his intent, and I think we have done what he has asked us to do. If not, at least we have worked hard trying to do it.

I'm very proud of what these people have done, all of them very, very young, and they have all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

adapted, both civilians, Navy, Marines, Air Force, Army, British Army, and all who have been involved in this effort.

So it's been a great opportunity to do our bit to help win this thing. So --

(b)(3), (b)(6) Great. Thanks very much.

That concludes this interview.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECRET*